

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 AUG 11 PM 3:08

*This space for
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Miller

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk BY RECEIVED DATE: 8/7/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv1016 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Scott
CASE TITLE: Scott v. Vessel Orion
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Amended Complaint

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		<u>OTHER: 5.2 Missing proof of service</u>

Date forwarded: 8/7/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: JEFFREY T. MILLER

Dated: 8/11/08
cc: All Parties

By: [Signature]

REJECTED

Mark Scott
P.O. Box 181936
Coronado, Ca. 92178

Mark Scott

U.S. District Court; Southern District of California

Mark Scott,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Vessel Orion; Dave Hammel

Defendant

) Case No.: 08cv1016JM(BLM)
)
) DOCUMENT TITLE Civil; Fair Labor
) AMENDED COMPLAINT
)
)
)
)
)
)

Stipulation for filing amended complaint.

By Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 15 do submit amended complaint to original pleading against Vessel Orion; to include Dave Hammel as attached party. Mr. Hammel has been notified of actions in rem against vessel Orion via registered mail. Dave Hammel; owner of vessel Orion in relation to causes and effect of events that led to filing original suit against the vessel. Attached is copies of original pleading against vessel Orion with Mr. Hammel listed in the complaint.

DATED: August 7, 2008

DEPT. OF JUSTICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Mark Scott
In Pro Per

DOCUMENT TITLE (e.g., COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES)